

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE**

**MERRIMACK, SS.**

**SUPERIOR COURT**

**Docket No. 217-2003-EQ-00106**

**In the Matter of the Liquidation of  
The Home Insurance Company**

**LIQUIDATOR'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF  
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH AT&T CORP.**

Christopher R. Nicolopoulos, Insurance Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire, as Liquidator ("Liquidator"), of The Home Insurance Company ("Home"), moves that the Court enter an order in the form submitted herewith approving a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release ("Settlement Agreement") between AT&T Corp. (formerly known as American Telephone and Telegraph Company) ("AT&T" or "Claimant"), and the Liquidator. As reasons therefor, the Liquidator states as follows:

1. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated under the supervision of the Special Deputy Liquidator. A copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Affidavit of Peter A. Bengelsdorf, Special Deputy Liquidator, in Support of Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement with American Telephone and Telegraph Company ("Bengelsdorf Aff.") ¶ 2.

2. Home issued thirty insurance policies to AT&T for various periods between October 15, 1964 and October 15, 1983 which, together with all other insurance policies Home may have issued to AT&T, are referred to collectively as the "Policies". Settlement Agreement, first Whereas clause. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 3.

3. AT&T submitted a proof of claim in the Home liquidation seeking coverage for asbestos premises, general liability and employer liability bodily injury claims which, together

with any other proofs of claim hereinbefore or hereinafter filed by Claimant in the Home liquidation, are referred to collectively as the “Proof of Claim”, except for a proof of claim for excess worker compensation claims which is not addressed in the Settlement Agreement.

Settlement Agreement, third Whereas clause.<sup>1</sup> Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 4.

4. A proof of claim asserting coverage under the Policies was also filed by Nokia of America Corporation. That proof of claim is not addressed in the Settlement Agreement.

Settlement Agreement, fourth Whereas clause.<sup>2</sup> Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 5.

5. The Liquidator and the Claimant have negotiated the Settlement Agreement to resolve all matters concerning the Proof of Claim and all rights and obligations with respect to the Policies. Settlement Agreement, fifth Whereas clause. The Settlement Agreement is subject to approval by the Court. Settlement Agreement, sixth Whereas clause, ¶ 1. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 6.

6. The Settlement Agreement provides that the Liquidator will recommend allowance of the Proof of Claim in the amount of \$10,000,000 (the “Recommended Amount”) as a Class II priority claim under RSA 402-C:44. Settlement Agreement ¶ 2(A). Allowance of the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim will fully and finally resolve the Proof of Claim and all claims Claimant has under the Policies. *Id.* ¶ 2(B). Distributions based on that allowance will be made at the same intervals and at the same percentages as distributions to other Class II creditors of Home. *Id.* ¶ 2(C). Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 7.

---

<sup>1</sup> Thirty-three other proofs of claim for AT&T were administratively closed by a notice of determination approved by the Court on November 14, 2016.

<sup>2</sup> Lucent Technologies, Inc., also asserted claims under the Policies. Lucent’s pollution and environmental claims were resolved by a settlement agreement dated July 5, 2006 approved by the Court on August 23, 2006.

7. The Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve the Proof of Claim and all claims that Claimant has under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 2(B). To that end, the Settlement Agreement provides for mutual releases of all claims among the Liquidator, Home, and the Claimant arising from or related to the Proof of Claim or the Policies. *Id.* ¶¶ 3, 4. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 8.

8. In resolving all of the Claimant's claims relating to the Proof of Claim and the Policies, the Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve all matters arising out of or relating to any rights the Claimant ever had, now has, or hereafter may have in the Policies and the Proof of Claim, including any asserted rights of third-party claimants against the Claimant under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. The Claimant agrees to address, at its sole cost, any such claims of third-party claimants against the Claimant as if there had been no liquidation proceeding for Home and as if the Claimant had no insurance coverage from Home by virtue of the Policies. *Id.* The Claimant also agrees to indemnify and hold the Liquidator and Home harmless from all claims arising from or relating to the Proof of Claim or the Policies, including asserted rights of third party claimants, up to the total amount ultimately distributed in relation to the Recommended Amount. *Id.* Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 9.

9. The Liquidator is not aware of any third party claimants presently asserting claims under the Policies.<sup>3</sup> Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 10. However, the denial of any third party claimants' claims without prejudice to their claims against Claimant will not harm the third party claimants, who will continue to have their claims against Claimant. As noted above, Claimant has agreed to address such claims as if they had no insurance coverage from Home under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. Third party claimants' proofs of claim against the insolvent Home, if

---

<sup>3</sup> Six third party proofs of claim were disallowed as abandoned by notices of determination approved by the Court on April 21, 2010.

not denied with the agreement, would release the Claimant from those claims up to the limits of the Policies but only entitle the third party claimants (assuming their claims were allowed) to the initial interim distributions and any later distribution at a presently undetermined distribution percentage from Home at the future date when distribution is made. *See* RSA 402-C:40, I; *Gonya v. Commissioner, New Hampshire Insurance Dept.*, 153 N.H. 521, 535 (2006) (noting the “inherent uncertainty of any creditor’s recovery in a liquidation”). It is not expected that the allowed claims of any third party claimants (or other Class II creditors) of Home will be paid in full. Under the Settlement Agreement, Claimant is responsible for any third party claimants’ claims against it. *See* Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 10.

10. The Liquidator is not aware of any proofs of claim asserting a claim subject to the same policy limit as the Proof of Claim resolved by the Settlement Agreement.<sup>4</sup> However, if a claim of another claimant is subject to the same limit of liability as claims resolved by the Settlement Agreement, and if the total allowed amounts for all claimants exceed the limit, then the allowed amounts for all claimants will be subject to adjustment under RSA 402-C:40, IV, so that the policy limit will not be exceeded. *See* Settlement Agreement ¶ 6. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 11.

11. The Settlement Agreement reflects a compromise of the claims asserted in the Proof of Claim. It is the result of negotiations involving the Claims Department, under the supervision of the Special Deputy Liquidator, which has extensive experience in assessing the exposure presented by claims under Home’s insurance policies. The agreed settlement amount is based on careful evaluation and negotiation of coverage obligations under the Policies respecting the underlying liabilities of the Claimant. The Liquidator accordingly recommends approval of

---

<sup>4</sup> The Nokia proof of claim asserts claims under the Policies that are separate occurrences and not subject to the same limits as claims asserted in AT&T’s Proof of Claim. The Lucent 2006 settlement agreement resolved claims under the Policies that were separate occurrences and not subject to the same limits as claims asserted in the AT&T or Nokia proofs of claim.

the Settlement Agreement and allowance of the \$10,000,000 settlement amount as a Class II claim of the Claimant in accordance with RSA 402-C:45 and RSA 402-C:44. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 12.

12. The Court has previously approved many similar settlement agreements. *See, e.g.*, Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Black & Decker (January 20, 2022); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Colgate-Palmolive Company (June 23, 2020); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Navistar, Inc. (December 11, 2018); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Graham Corporation (July 8, 2016); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Washington Gas (July 15, 2013); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Wisconsin Energy (March 18, 2010); Order Approving Commutation Agreement with Northwestern National Insurance Company and Settlement Agreement and Assignment of Distribution with AK Steel Corporation (March 10, 2006). The Liquidator’s negotiation and the Court’s approval of such agreements are authorized by the broad authority of the Liquidator to “compound, compromise or in any other manner negotiate the amount for which claims will be recommended to the court,” RSA 402-C:45, I, and the authority of the Court to “approve, disapprove or modify any report on claims by the liquidator.” RSA 402-C:45, II. It is also an appropriate exercise of the Liquidator’s authority (“[s]ubject to the court’s control”) to “do such other acts . . . as are necessary or expedient for the accomplishment of or in aid of the purpose of liquidation.” RSA 402-C:25, XXII.

13. In his Motion for Approval of Commutation with Northwestern National Insurance Company and Settlement Agreement and Assignment of Distribution with AK Steel Corporation ¶¶ 19-23 (February 16, 2006), the Liquidator provided his analysis of New Hampshire law, including RSA 402-C:40, III, as it applies to this type of comprehensive policy

coverage compromise and settlement in an insurer liquidation context. That analysis also applies to the proposed Settlement Agreement with the Claimant.

14. The Liquidator submits that the Settlement Agreement is fair and reasonable and in the best interests of the policyholders and creditors of Home. *See Bengelsdorf Aff.* ¶ 13.

WHEREFORE, the Liquidator respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant this Motion;
- B. Enter an Order in the form submitted herewith approving the Settlement Agreement, approving the Liquidator's claim recommendation, and allowing the Claimant's claim as a Class II claim in accordance with RSA 402-C:45 and RSA 402-C:44 in the amount of \$10,000,000; and
- C. Grant such other and further relief as justice may require.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTOPHER R. NICOLOPOULOS,  
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER OF THE  
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, AS  
LIQUIDATOR OF THE HOME  
INSURANCE COMPANY,

By his attorneys,

JOHN M. FORMELLA  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jill A. Perlow  
[jill.a.perlow@doj.nh.gov](mailto:jill.a.perlow@doj.nh.gov)  
NH Bar ID No. 15830  
Civil Bureau  
New Hampshire Department of Justice  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, NH 03301-6397  
(603) 271-3650

/s/ Eric A. Smith  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J. David Leslie  
[dleslie@verrill-law.com](mailto:dleslie@verrill-law.com)  
NH Bar ID No. 16859  
Eric A. Smith  
[easmith@verrill-law.com](mailto:easmith@verrill-law.com)  
NH Bar ID No. 16952  
Verrill Dana LLP  
One Federal Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Boston, MA 02110  
(617) 309-2600

December 7, 2022

**Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Liquidator's Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement with AT&T Corp., the Affidavit of Peter A. Bengelsdorf, and the Proposed Order, were sent this 7th day of December, 2022, by first class mail, postage prepaid to all persons on the attached service list.

*/s/ Eric A. Smith*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Eric A. Smith

NH Bar ID No. 16952



THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

In the Matter of the Liquidation of  
The Home Insurance Company  
Docket No. 217-2003-EQ-00106

SERVICE LIST

Lisa Snow Wade, Esq.  
Orr & Reno  
One Eagle Square  
P.O. Box 3550  
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-3550

Gary S. Lee, Esq.  
James J. DeCristofaro, Esq.  
Kathleen E. Schaaf, Esq.  
Morrison & Foerster  
250 West 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019-9601

Joseph G. Davis, Esq.  
Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP  
1875 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006

Thomas W. Ladd, Esq.  
McCarter & English, LLP  
Four Gateway Center  
100 Mulberry Street  
Newark, NJ 07102

Harry Cohen, Esq.  
Crowell & Moring  
590 Madison Avenue  
20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022-2544

Stephanie V. Corrao, Esq.  
Crowell & Moring  
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004-2595

David M. Spector, Esq.  
Dennis G. LaGory, Esq.  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
6600 Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Martin P. Honigberg, Esq.  
Sulloway & Hollis, P.L.L.C.  
9 Capitol Street  
P.O. Box 1256  
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-1256

Richard Mancino, Esq.  
Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP  
787 Seventh Avenue  
New York, New York 10019

Albert P. Bedecarre, Esq.  
Quinn Emanuel Urguhart Oliver & Hedges LLP  
50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111

Robert M. Horkovich, Esq.  
Robert Y. Chung, Esq.  
Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C.  
1251 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10020

Jeffrey W. Moss, Esq.  
Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP  
One Federal Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Joseph C. Tanski, Esq.  
John S. Stadler, Esq.  
Nixon Peabody LLP  
100 Summer Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Gregory T. LoCasale, Esq.  
White and Williams, LLP  
One Liberty Place, Suite 1800  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-7395

John A. Hubbard  
615 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue South  
Great Falls, Montana 59405

Mark J. Andreini, Esq.  
Jones Day  
North Point  
901 Lakeside Avenue  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190

Paul A. Zevnik, Esq.  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004

Tred R. Eyerly, Esq.  
Damon Key Leon Kupchak Hastert  
1003 Bishop Street, Suite 1600  
Honolulu, HI 96813

David H. Simmons, Esq.  
Mary Ann Etzler, Esq.  
Daniel J. O'Malley, Esq.  
deBeaubien, Knight, Simmons,  
Mantzaris & Neal, LLP  
332 North Magnolia Drive  
P.O. Box 87  
Orlando, FL 32801

Joseph C. Safar  
Jessica L.G. Moran  
K&L Gates LLP  
210 Sixth Ave.  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Kyle A. Forsyth, Esq.  
Commercial Litigation Branch/Civil Division  
United States Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 875  
Washington, D.C. 20044-0875

Michael J. Tierney, Esq.  
Wadleigh, Starr & Peters, PLLC  
95 Market Street  
Manchester, New Hampshire 03101

Harry L. Bowles  
306 Big Hollow Lane  
Houston, Texas 77042

Michael S. Lewis, Esq.  
Rath Young Pignatelli  
One Capital Plaza  
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-1500

Keith Dotseth, Esq.  
Larson King  
2800 Wells Fargo Place  
30 East Seventh Street, Suite 2800  
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101

Michel Y. Horton, Esq.  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP  
300 South Grand Avenue, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071

Terri L. Pastori, Esq.  
Ashley Taylor, Esq.  
Pastori | Krans, PLLC  
82 North Main Street, Suite B  
Concord, NH 03301

Michael P. Mullins, Esq.  
Day Pitney LLP  
One Federal Street, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Boston, MA 02110

Doreen F. Connor, Esq.  
Primmer Piper Eggleston & PC  
P.O. Box 3600  
Manchester, NH 03105

Mr. Edward Crosby  
P.O. Box 155  
Crowell, TX 79227

Ms. Patricia Erway  
16604 Rialto Dr.  
Winter Garden, FL 34787

Mark C. Rouvalis, Esq.  
Steven J. Dutton, Esq.  
Viggo C. Fish, Esq.  
McLane Middleton, P.A.  
900 Elm Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Manchester, NH 03101

Mark R. Goodman, Esq.  
Joseph T. McCullough IV, Esq.  
Peter B. Steffen, Esq.  
Freeborn & Peters LLP  
311 South Wacker Dr., Suite 3000  
Chicago, IL 60606

Derek D. Lick, Esq.  
Suloway & Hollis, P.L.L.C.  
9 Capitol Street  
Concord, NH 03301

Christopher J. Valente, Esq.  
K&L Gates LLP  
State Street Financial Center  
One Lincoln Street  
Boston, MA 02111

Mr. Howard Campbell  
10011 Mosher Hollow Road  
Cattaraugus, NY 14719

Linda Faye Peeples  
P.O. Box 974  
Fresno, TX 77545

Roland Jackson  
Chief Executive Officer, Director  
Catalina Services, UK Limited  
1 Alie Street, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
London, England E1 8DE

David Himelfarb, Esq.  
McCarter & English, LLP  
265 Franklin Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Boston, MA 021

# **EXHIBIT A**

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release ("Settlement Agreement") is made as of this 1<sup>st</sup> day of December 2022, by and between AT&T Corp. (formerly known as American Telephone and Telegraph Company) ("Claimant"), on the one hand, and Christopher R. Nicolopoulos, Insurance Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire, solely in his capacity as Liquidator ("Liquidator") of The Home Insurance Company ("Home"), on the other hand (the Claimant and the Liquidator are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Parties").

**WHEREAS**, Home issued the following insurance policies to Claimant under which Claimant is the named insured:

HEC9555788	HEC9792993
HEC4763665	HEC9347165
HEC9328637	HEC9328638
HEC9655400	HEC9693668
HEC9693669	HEC9694242
HEC9694243	HEC9694246
HEC9694247	HEC9694248
HEC9826319	HEC9826320
HEC9543881	HEC9826321
HEC9826322	HEC9826323
HEC9903055	HEC9903056
HEC9903057	HEC9903058
HEC9903059	HEC9903060
HEC1198760	HEC1198761
HEC1199862	HEC1199863

which together with all other insurance policies Home may have issued to Claimant are defined collectively as the "Policies";

**WHEREAS**, Home is being liquidated pursuant to the June 13, 2003 Order of the Superior Court of the State of New Hampshire, Merrimack County (the "Liquidation Court"), pursuant to which the Liquidator was appointed as the Liquidator of Home;

**WHEREAS**, Claimant has submitted a proof of claim in the Home liquidation seeking coverage for asbestos premises, general liability and employer liability bodily injury claims that

have been assigned proof of claim number INSU703728, which together with any other proofs of claim hereinbefore or hereinafter filed by Claimant in the Home liquidation are defined collectively as the "Proof of Claim". For the avoidance of doubt, proof of claim number INSU703730 filed by Claimant for excess workers compensation claims remains pending and is not addressed in this Settlement Agreement<sup>1</sup>;

**WHEREAS**, a proof of Claim asserting coverage for claims under the Policies was filed by Nokia of America Corporation, formerly known as Lucent Technologies, Inc., and assigned proof of claim number INSU703729 (hereinafter the "Nokia Proof of Claim"). The proof of claim is not addressed in this Settlement Agreement.

**WHEREAS**, the Parties are desirous of resolving all claims that were asserted, or could have been or could be asserted, and resolving all matters concerning the Proof of Claim and all rights and obligations with respect to the Policies;

**WHEREAS**, the Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement is subject to and conditioned upon its approval by the Liquidation Court and allowance of the Recommended Amount (as defined below) into the Home liquidation and in the event that the Liquidation Court does not approve the Settlement Agreement and allow the Recommended Amount, this Settlement Agreement shall be null and void and without any force or effect;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of all the respective transactions contemplated by this Settlement Agreement, and the mutual covenants and representations herein contained, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup> An additional 33 proofs of claim for AT&T were administratively closed via Notice of Determination INSU713872-01 and Court approved on November 14, 2016. Pursuant to a settlement agreement dated July 5, 2006 and Court approved on August 23, 2006 by and between the Liquidator and Lucent Technologies Inc., a spin-off of Claimant ("Lucent"). Lucent's pollution and environmental claims under the Policies were fully and finally resolved under proof of claim numbers INSU701948, INSU70949 and INSU901951 through INSU701978.

1. Effectiveness. This Settlement Agreement is conditioned and shall only become effective (the "Effective Date") upon approval by the Liquidation Court. The Liquidator shall move for approval of this Settlement Agreement promptly following execution by all Parties.

2. Recommendation, Allowance, and Classification of Claims.

- A. Subject to all the terms of this Settlement Agreement, and with the agreement of Claimant, which by Claimant's execution hereof is hereby granted, the Liquidator shall recommend pursuant to N.H. RSA 402-C:45 that the Proof of Claim be allowed in the amount of \$10,000,000 (the "Recommended Amount") as a Class II priority claim under N.H. RSA 402-C:44. The Liquidator shall seek allowance of the Recommended Amount as a Class II priority claim by the Liquidation Court in the Liquidator's motion for approval of this Settlement Agreement.
- B. Allowance of the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim by the Liquidation Court shall fully and finally resolve the Proof of Claim and any and all claims of whatever nature that Claimant has under the Policies. In the event that the Liquidation Court does not allow the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim, this Settlement Agreement shall be null and void and shall have no force and effect and the Parties will be returned to *status quo ante*, as if no such agreement was ever reached, with this Settlement Agreement thereafter being inadmissible for any purpose in any dispute between the Parties.
- C. If and when the Liquidation Court allows the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim, Claimant will become a Class II creditor in the Home liquidation pursuant to N.H. RSA 402-C:44, and Claimant shall, subject to this

Settlement Agreement, receive distributions on the allowed amount at the same intervals and at the same percentages as other Class II creditors of Home.

All distributions to Claimant shall be made to:

AT&T Corp.  
49 Bernard Street  
Branchburg, NJ 08876  
Attn: Paula Phillips

3. Release by Claimant. Subject to the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the Liquidation Court's approval of the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim, Claimant for itself and on behalf of its current officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, and their successors and assigns, irrevocably and unconditionally releases and discharges the Liquidator and Home and each of their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns (including any trustee or other statutory successor), from any and all actions, causes of action, liabilities, adjustments, obligations, offsets, suits, debts, dues, sums of money, accounts, reckonings, bonds, bills, premiums, losses, salvage, specialties, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, variances, trespasses, damages, judgments, extents, executions, claims, and/or demands, arising from or related to the Proof of Claim or the Policies, in law, admiralty, or equity, which Claimant, or its subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, ever had, now has, or hereafter may have against the Liquidator or Home or their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, all whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent, in law, admiralty or equity, arising from or related to the Proof of Claim or the Policies.

4. Release by Liquidator. Subject to the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the



Liquidation Court's approval of the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim, the Liquidator, in his capacity as such, and on behalf of Home and each of their respective current officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, and their successors and assigns, irrevocably and unconditionally releases and discharges Claimant and each of its officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, from any and all actions, causes of action, liabilities, adjustments, obligations, offsets, suits, debts, dues, sums of money, accounts, reckonings, bonds, bills, premiums, losses, salvage, specialties, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, variances, trespasses, damages, judgments, extents, executions, claims, and/or demands, arising from or related to the Proof of Claim or the Policies, in law, admiralty, or equity, which the Liquidator, Home, or their subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, ever had, now have, or hereafter may have against Claimant or its officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, all whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent, in law, admiralty or equity, arising from or related to the Proof of Claim or the Policies.

5. Resolution of Matters and Indemnification. Claimant acknowledges that this Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve all matters arising out of or relating to any rights Claimant ever had, now has or hereafter may have in the Policies and the Proof of Claim, including any asserted rights of third-party claimants against Claimant under the Policies, and Claimant agrees to address, at its sole cost and expense, any such claims of third-party claimants against Claimant as if there had been no liquidation proceeding for Home and as if Claimant had no insurance coverage from Home by virtue of the Policies. In consideration of the Recommended Amount being allowed by the Liquidation Court as a Class II claim,

Claimant agrees to indemnify and hold the Liquidator and Home harmless from and against any and all claims, losses, liabilities, debts, damages, costs or expenses arising from or related to the Proof of Claim or the Policies and such indemnification shall be capped at the total amount ultimately distributed in relation to the Recommended Amount as allowed by the Liquidation Court. The future obligations of Claimant under this paragraph shall extend to and include (by way of example and not limitation) any claims for defense or indemnity for claims made under the Policies against the Liquidator or Home by vendors, or by other insurers of Claimant, or by any individuals or entities asserting "direct action" claims arising out of or related to the Policies. The Liquidator shall promptly notify Claimant of any such claim, and shall afford Claimant the opportunity to reasonably participate in the defense of such claims. The Liquidator shall assert all defenses to such claims reasonably available to the Liquidator, including defenses under the Order of Liquidation or the New Hampshire Insurers Rehabilitation and Liquidation Act. Claimant shall cooperate with the Liquidator (including but not limited to the provision of affidavits or testimony) to defend against and resolve such claims.

6. Multiple Claims. The Home policies against which this claim is made contains certain limits. New Hampshire RSA 402-C:40 (IV) provides that in the event multiple claims against such policies are filed, and the aggregate allowed amount of all claims to which the same limit of liability in the policy is applicable exceeds that limit, then each claim as allowed shall be reduced in the same proportion so that the total equals the policy limit. The Liquidator is presently unaware of any proof of claim filed in the Home estate asserting a claim subject to the same limit in the Home policy as this claim<sup>2</sup>. However, if an allowance is made such that the aggregate allowed amount of all claims subject to the same limit exceeds the limit, each

---

<sup>2</sup> The Nokia Proof of Claim asserts coverage under the Policies for exposures; however, the claims are separate occurrences and are not subject to the same limits.

claim will be prorated so that the total equals the policy limit as required by RSA 402-C:40 (IV). The Liquidator will be unable to determine whether and to what extent Claimant's allowed amount may be reduced until all proofs of claim against the Policies have been determined. If the aggregate allowed amount of claims exceeds the applicable limit such that Claimant's claim is subject to proration, the Liquidator will inform Claimant accordingly.

7. Mutual Release of Settling Carriers. Claimant agrees to use reasonable commercial efforts to cause any settlement agreement relating to the underlying matters covered by the Proof of Claim with any other insurance company to include a waiver by that other insurance company of any claim, including contribution, apportionment, indemnification, subrogation, equitable subrogation, allocation, or recoupment, against Home regarding the underlying matters covered by the Proof of Claim. The Liquidator agrees to waive, relinquish and release any claim, including contribution, apportionment, indemnification, subrogation, equitable subrogation, allocation, or recoupment, as to the underlying matters covered by the Proof of Claim against any other insurance company which executes a settlement with Claimant that includes a provision that is materially the same as this paragraph.

8. No Assignments. Claimant warrants and represents that it has not assigned, conveyed, or otherwise transferred any claims, demands, causes of action, rights, or obligations related in any way to the Policies, or any proceeds thereof, or the Proof of Claim, or the claims, losses and expenses released herein, to any person or entity. Claimant shall not assign or otherwise transfer this Settlement Agreement or any rights or obligations thereunder without the written consent of the Liquidator, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.

9. Further Assurances. The Parties shall take all further actions as may be necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this Settlement Agreement and to

consummate the transactions contemplated herein. Claimant acknowledges that it is aware of the requirements of the Medicare Secondary Payer Act and the Medicare, Medicaid and SCRIIP Extension Act of 2007, including provisions concerning Medicare set-asides and/or notification to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") regarding certain Medicare-eligible, or potentially eligible, claimants who enter into settlement agreements that may justify recovery for Medicare covered case-related services. Claimant acknowledges that it may be obligated, and otherwise agrees, to provide data, if and when required or requested, for CMS regarding claimants who will share in distributions from Claimant's assets that include a portion of the Recommended Amount.

10. Governing Law and Venue. This Settlement Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New Hampshire without regard to the conflicts of law provisions thereof. The Parties agree that the exclusive venue for any dispute between the Parties arising out of the Proof of Claim, the Policies or this Settlement Agreement shall be the Liquidation Court.

11. Due Diligence. The Parties acknowledge and agree that, in negotiating and executing this Settlement Agreement, they have relied upon their own judgment and upon the recommendations of their own legal counsel, that they have read this Settlement Agreement and have had the opportunity to consider its terms and effects, and that they have executed this Settlement Agreement voluntarily and with full understanding of its terms and effects. This Settlement Agreement is the product of negotiations between the Parties. No Party shall be charged with having promulgated this Settlement Agreement, and the general rule that ambiguities are to be construed against the drafter shall not apply to this Agreement.

12. No Third Party Rights. This Settlement Agreement is entered into solely for

the benefit of the Liquidator, Home, and Claimant and is not intended to, and does not give or create any rights to or in any person or entity other than the Parties.

13. Counterparts. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which, when so delivered, shall be an original, but such counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument. The Parties agree that a signature sent by facsimile or electronic mail to the other Party shall have the same force and effect as an original signature.

14. Power and Authority to Execute. Subject to the approval of the Liquidation Court required by paragraph 1, each Party hereto represents and warrants that it has the full power and authority to execute, deliver, and perform this Settlement Agreement; that all requisite and necessary approvals have been obtained to consummate the transactions contemplated by this Settlement Agreement; that there are no other agreements or transactions to which it is a party that would render this Settlement Agreement or any part thereof, void, voidable or unenforceable; that each individual signing on behalf of a Party has been duly authorized by that Party to execute this Settlement Agreement on its behalf; and that no claims being released under the terms of this Settlement Agreement have been assigned, sold, or otherwise transferred to any other entity.

15. Successor-in-Interest Bound. This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon, and shall inure to the benefit of the Parties and their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, liquidators, receivers, administrators, successors, and assigns.

16. Entire Agreement. This Settlement Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties with respect to the subject matter thereof. This Settlement Agreement supersedes all prior agreements and understandings, whether

written or oral, concerning such matters.

17. Survival of Warranties and Representations. The warranties and representations made herein shall survive the execution of this Settlement Agreement.

18. Validity of Settlement Agreement. Subject to approval of this Settlement Agreement by the Liquidation Court as required by paragraph 1, each Party represents and warrants that this Settlement Agreement is a legal, valid, and binding obligation, enforceable in accordance with its terms.

19. No Waiver. No waiver of any right under this Settlement Agreement shall be deemed effective unless contained in a writing signed by the Party or an authorized representative of the Party charged with such waiver, and no waiver of any breach or failure to perform shall be deemed to be a waiver of any future breach or failure to perform or of any other provision of this Settlement Agreement. This Settlement Agreement may not be amended except in a document signed by the Party or an officer or other authorized official of the Party to be charged.

20. Notice. All notices to be given under this Settlement Agreement shall be given by facsimile and first class U.S. mail directed to:

If to Claimant, to:

AT&T Corp.  
208 S. Akard Street, Room 3003  
Dallas, TX 75202  
Attn: Jennifer Morris

If to the Liquidator, to:

Angela Anglum, Esq.  
Vice President Legal Affairs & Corporate Secretary  
The Home Insurance Company in Liquidation  
61 Broadway 6th Floor  
New York, NY 10006

angela.anglum@homeinsco.com

and

J. Christopher Marshall  
Civil Bureau  
New Hampshire Department of Justice  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, New Hampshire 03301-6397  
christopher.marshall@doj.nh.gov

and

J. David Leslie, Esq.  
Verrill Dana LLP  
One Federal Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Boston, MA 02110  
dleslie@verrill-law.com

21. Severability. If any provision of this Settlement Agreement is invalid, unenforceable, or illegal under the law of any applicable jurisdiction, the validity and enforceability of such provision in any other jurisdiction shall not be affected thereby and the remaining provisions of this Settlement Agreement shall remain valid and enforceable. However, in the event of such invalidity, unenforceability, or illegality, the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to amend this Settlement Agreement through the insertion of additional provisions which are valid, enforceable, and legal and which reflect, to the extent possible, the purposes contained in the invalid, unenforceable, or illegal provision.

**WHEREFORE**, the Parties have caused this Settlement Agreement to be executed on their respective behalves by their duly authorized representatives.

**AT&T CORP.**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: December 1, 2022

**CHRISTOPHER R. NICOLOPOULOS, INSURANCE  
COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF NEW  
HAMPSHIRE, SOLELY IN HIS CAPACITY AS  
LIQUIDATOR OF THE HOME INSURANCE  
COMPANY**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: December 1, 2022